*Asymmetric Synthesis*

Enantioselective Mannich-Type Reaction Catalyzed by a Chiral Brønsted Acid**

Takahiko Akiyama,* Junji Itoh, Koji Yokota, and Kohei Fuchibe

The enantioselective Mannich-type reaction of an enolate or an enolate anion equivalent with aldimines constitutes a useful method for the preparation of chiral β-amino carbonyl compounds, which are the precursors of biologically important compounds such as β-lactams and β-amino acids. The development of chiral catalysts for the asymmetric Mannich-type reaction has attracted the attention of synthetic organic chemists.[1] Although stoichiometric amounts of chiral acid were employed initially,[2] a number of enantioselective catalysts such as chiral Lewis acid catalysts[3] and chiral base catalysts[4] have been developed lately.

In addition to metal-based chiral catalysts,[5] the use of small organic molecules as catalysts to promote asymmetric reactions has emerged as a new frontier in reaction methodology.[6] Accordingly, L-proline derivatives[7] and peptide derivatives[8] have been developed as catalysts for the Mannich-type reactions. We previously reported that Mannich-type reactions[9] and the aza-Diels–Alder reaction[10] proceed smoothly in the presence of a catalytic amount of a strong Brønsted acid. We thus postulated that the use of a chiral Brønsted acid, in which the proton is surrounded by bulky substituents, may lead to effective asymmetric induction. We report herein an enantioselective Mannich-type reaction of silyl enolates with aldimines catalyzed by a chiral metal-free Brønsted acid.[11,12]

First, treatment of aldimine **1a** (Scheme 1, $R^1 = Ph$) and ketene silyl acetal **2** (3.0 equiv) with 0.3 equivalents of the chiral phosphate **4a**[13,14,15] (which is readily prepared from (R)-BINOL; Scheme 2) in toluene at −78 °C led to a smooth Mannich-type reaction to give **3a** ($R^1 = Ph$). However, no enantioselectivity was observed (Table 1, entry 1), as deter-


Scheme 1. Mannich-type reaction of aldimines **1** and ketene silyl acetals **2** to form β-aminoesters **3**.

[*] Prof. Dr. T. Akiyama, J. Itoh, K. Yokota, Dr. K. Fuchibe
Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science
Gakushuin University
Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8588 (Japan)
Fax: (+81)-3-5992-1029
E-mail: takahiko.akiyama@gakushuin.ac.jp

mined by HPLC analysis with a chiral stationary phase column.[16] Next, we synthesized chiral phosphates **4b–e** by Suzuki coupling of bis(boronic acid) **5**[17] followed by demethylation and subsequent phosphorylation, as shown in Scheme 2. Introduction of aromatic groups at the 3,3′-

Scheme 2. Formation of chiral phosphates **4**, readily available from (*R*)-BINOL.

positions exerted a beneficial effect on the enantioselectivity. Use of **4b** as a chiral Brønsted acid in toluene increased the enantiofacial selectivity to 27% *ee* (Table 1, entry 2). The

Table 1: Effect of the aromatic substituents of **4**.[a]

Entry	Ar	*t* [h]	Yield [%]	*ee* [%]
1	H	22	57	0
2	Ph	20	100	27
3	2,4,6-Me$_3$C$_6$H$_2$	27	100	60
4	4-MeOC$_6$H$_4$	46	99	52
5	4-NO$_2$C$_6$H$_4$	4	96	87

[a] Aldimine **1a** (R^1 = Ph) (1.0 equiv) and **2** (3.0 equiv) were treated with Brønsted acid **4** (30 mol%) in toluene at −78°C.

introduction of 4-nitrophenyl groups (i.e. **4e**) had a dual effect: 1) improvement of enantioselectivity to 87% *ee*, 2) acceleration of reaction rate, thereby allowing the reaction to go to completion in 4 h (Table 1, entry 5). The absolute stereochemistry of **3a** was determined by chiral HPLC analysis by comparison of the retention time with that found in the literature.[3c]

By further optimization of the reaction conditions, we found that the use of aromatic solvents led to high enantioselectivities, whereas protic solvents gave racemates.[18] Furthermore, a lower loading (10 mol%) of the Brønsted acid was sufficient to retain the high enantioselectivity. The results of the Mannich-type reaction of **2** with several aldimines catalyzed by chiral Brønsted acid **4e** are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Catalytic enantioselective Mannich-type reactions.[a]

Entry	R^1	Product	Yield [%]	*ee* [%]
1	Ph	**3a**	98	89
2	*p*-MeC$_6$H$_4$	**3b**	100	89
3	*p*-FC$_6$H$_4$	**3c**	100	85
4	*p*-ClC$_6$H$_4$	**3d**	100	80

[a] Aldimine **1** (1.0 equiv) and **2** (1.5 equiv) were treated with **4e** (10 mol%) in toluene at −78°C for 24 h.

Aldimines derived from aromatic aldehydes afforded adducts with good to high enantioselectivities. The chemical yields were excellent in all cases.[19, 20]

Next, other ketene silyl acetals were examined (Table 3). Monosubstituted ketene silyl acetals led to high *syn* selectivity

Table 3: Diastereoselective Mannich-type reactions.[a]

Entry	R^1	R^2	R^3	Yield [%]	syn/anti	ee [%][b]
1	Ph	Me[c]	Et	100	87:13	96
2	*p*-MeOC$_6$H$_4$	Me[c]	Et	100	92:8	88
3	*p*-FC$_6$H$_4$	Me[c]	Et	100	91:9	84
4	*p*-ClC$_6$H$_4$	Me[c]	Et	100	86:14	83
5	*p*-MeC$_6$H$_4$	Me[c]	Et	100	94:6	81
6	2-Thienyl	Me[c]	Et	81	94:6	88
7	PhCH=CH	Me[c]	Et	91	95:5	90
8	Ph	PhCH$_2$[d]	Et	100	93:7	91
9	*p*-MeOC$_6$H$_4$	PhCH$_2$[d]	Et	92	93:7	87
10	PhCH=CH	PhCH$_2$[d]	Et	65	95:5	90
11	Ph	Ph$_3$SiO[e]	Me	79	100:0	91

[a] Aldimine **1** (1.0 equiv) and ketene silyl acetal **6** (1.5 equiv) were treated with **4e** (10 mol%) in toluene at −78°C for 24 h. [b] *ee* value of *syn* isomer. [c] E/Z = 87:13. [d] E/Z = 87:13. [e] E/Z = 91:9.

as well as excellent enantioselectivity. The ketene silyl acetal derived from ethyl propionate furnished the corresponding ester in 96% *ee* (Table 3, entry 1). Substituted aromatic, heteroaromatic, and α,β-unsaturated aldimines also gave the corresponding adducts with high enantioselectivities (Table 3, entries 2–7). The reactions of ketene silyl acetals derived from ethyl 3-phenylpropionate (Table 3, entries 8–10) and methyl 2-triphenylsilyloxyacetate (Table 3, entry 11) also exhibited excellent *syn* selectivities[21] and high enantioselectivities.

Because the use of *N*-benzylideneaniline in place of **1a** (R^1 = Ph) in the reaction with **2** lowered the enantioselectivity to 39% *ee*, we concluded that the presence of the hydroxy group in the *ortho* position of the aldimine is essential for the present enantioselective Mannich-type reaction. This reaction can be considered to proceed via an iminium salt, generated from the aldimine and the Brønsted acid. Although the precise mechanism has not been elucidated, it is supposed that 3,3′-diaryl groups, which are not coplanar with the naphthyl groups (see **8**), would effectively shield the phosphate moiety, leading to efficient asymmetric induction.[22] This is the first example of an enantioselective Mannich-type reaction in which the carbon–nitrogen double bond is

8

activated by a strong, metal-free chiral Brønsted acid, even though chiral Brønsted acids were previously implicated in enantioselective Mannich-type reactions.[7,8]

In summary, we have developed a chiral Brønsted acid catalyzed enantioselective Mannich-type reaction of aldimines with silyl enolates, and β-aminoesters were obtained with high to excellent enantioselectivities under metal-free conditions. This method adds a new entry to the catalogue organo-catalyzed asymmetric reactions. This method can potentially be extended to a variety of enantioselective nucleophilic addition reactions to carbon–nitrogen double bonds. Further investigations to clarify the reaction mechanism and its application to other enantioselective reactions are in progress.

Experimental Section

General procedure (Table 3, Entry 1): A solution of **6** (R^2 = Me, R^3 = Et) (50 μL, 0.246 mmol) was added dropwise over 3 min to a solution of **1** (R^1 = Ph) (32.0 mg, 0.162 mmol) and **4e** (9.5 mg, 0.0161 mmol) in toluene (1 mL) at −78 °C. The reaction was stirred at this temperature for 17 h. The mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated solutions of $NaHCO_3$ and KF at −78 °C. After filtration over celite, the filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed successively washed with HCl (1 N) and brine, dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4, and concentrated to dryness. The remaining solid was purified by TLC (SiO_2, hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to give **7** (45.6 mg, 0.155 mmol) in 100 % yield. The enantiomeric excess was determined on a Daicel Chiralpak AS-H column.

[1] For reviews, see: S. Kobayashi, H. Ishitani, *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 1069; E. F. Kleinman in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Vol. 2* (Eds.: B. M. Trost, I. Fleming), Pergamon Press, Oxford, **1991**, p. 893; M. Arend, B. Westermann, N. Risch, *Angew. Chem.* **1998**, *110*, 1096; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 1045; M. Arend, *Angew. Chem.* **1999**, *111*, 3047; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 2873.

[2] a) K. Ishihara, M. Miyata, K. Hattori, T. Tada, H. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 10520; b) R. Müller, H. Goesmann, H. Waldmann, *Angew. Chem.* **1999**, *111*, 166; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 184.

[3] a) H. Ishitani, M. Ueno, S. Kobayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 7153; b) S. Kobayashi, H. Ishitani, M. Ueno, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 431; c) H. Ishitani, M. Ueno, S. Kobayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 8180; d) S. Kobayashi, T. Hamada, K. Manabe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 5640; e) E. Hagiwara, A. Fujii, M. Sodeoka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 2474; f) S. Xue, S. Yu, Y. Deng, W. D. Wulff, *Angew. Chem.* **2001**, *113*, 2331; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2271; g) B. M. Trost, L. R. Terrell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 338; h) K. Juhl, N. Gathergood, K. A. Jørgensen, *Angew. Chem.* **2001**, *113*, 3083; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2995; i) D. Ferraris, B. Young, T. Dudding, T. Lectka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 4548; j) A. E. Taggi, A. M. Hafez, T. Lectka, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2003**, *36*, 10; k) S. Matsunaga, N. Kumagai, S. Harada, M. Shibasaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 4712.

[4] For chiral-base-catalyzed reactions, see: H. Fujieda, M. Kanai, T. Kambara, A. Iida, K. Tomioka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 2060; K. Tomioka, H. Fujieda, S. Hayashi, M.-A. Hussein, T. Kambara, Y. Nomura, M. Kanai, K. Koga, *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 715.

[5] E. N. Jacobsen, A. Pfaltz, H. Yamamoto in *Comprehensive Asymmetric Synthesis, Vol. I–III*, Springer, Berlin, **1999**; H. Tye, P. J. Comina, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* **2001**, 1729.

[6] P. I. Dalko, L. Moisan, *Angew. Chem.* **2001**, *113*, 3840; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 3726; K. A. Ahrendt, C. J. Borths, D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 4243; S. Kobayashi, C. Ogawa, H. Konishi, M. Sugiura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 6610; K. Juhl, K. A. Jørgensen, *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, *115*, 1536; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 1498; N. A. Paras, D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 7894.

[7] B. List, P. Pojarliev, W. T. Biller, H. J. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 827; W. Notz, K. Sakthivel, T. Bui, G. Zhong, C. F. Barbas III, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 199; A. Cordova, W. Notz, G. Zhong, J. M. Betancort, C. F. Barbas III, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 1842; A. Cordova, S.-i. Watanabe, F. Tanaka, W. Notz, C. F. Barbas III, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 1866; A. Cordova, C. F. Barbas III, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 7749; Y. Hayashi, W. Tsuboi, I. Ashimine, T. Urushima, M. Shoji, K. Sakai, *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, *115*, 3805; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 3677.

[8] P. Vachal, E. N. Jacobsen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 10012; A. G. Wenzel, E. N. Jacobsen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 12964.

[9] a) T. Akiyama, J. Takaya, H. Kagoshima, *Synlett* **1999**, 1045; b) T. Akiyama, J. Takaya, H. Kagoshima, *Synlett* **1999**, 1426; c) T. Akiyama, J. Takaya, H. Kagoshima, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 4025; d) T. Akiyama, J. Takaya, H. Kagoshima, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2002**, *344*, 338; e) T. Akiyama, J. Itoh, K. Fuchibe, *Synlett* **2002**, 1269.

[10] T. Akiyama, J. Takaya, H. Kagoshima, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 7831; T. Akiyama, K. Matsuda, K. Fuchibe, *Synlett* **2002**, 1898.

[11] For recent examples which claim the use of chiral Brønsted acids as activators, see: B. L. Hodous, G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 10006; C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, J. M. Garcia, A. Gonzalez, A. Lecumberri, A. Linden, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 10288; N. T. McDougal, S. E. Schaus, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 12094; Y. Huang, A. K. Unni, A. N. Thadani, V. H. Rawal, *Nature* **2003**, *424*, 146.

[12] For a "Brønsted acid assisted chiral Lewis acid" promoted Mannich-type reaction, see reference [2a], in which a Lewis acid plays an important role.

[13] J. Jacques, C. Fouquey, *Org. Synth.* **1989**, *67*, 1.

[14] For use as a chiral resolving agent, see: S. H. Wilen, J. Z. Qi, *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 487.

[15] For an enantioselective asymmetric reaction in which a metal salt of a chiral BINOL phosphate is used, see: J. Inanaga, Y. Sugimoto, T. Hanamoto, *New J. Chem.* **1995**, *19*, 707; H. Furuno, T. Hanamoto, Y. Sugimoto, J. Inanaga, *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 49.

[16] Daicel Chiralpak AD-H was employed.

[17] P. Wipf, J.-K. Jung, *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 6319.

[18] The enantiomeric excesses in other solvents were as follows: 83 % *ee* (EtC_6H_5), 30 % *ee* (Et_2O), 13 % *ee* (CH_2Cl_2), 0 % *ee* (EtOH).

[19] The absolute stereochemistry of **3a** and **3d** were assigned to be *S* by comparison of the retention times of both enantiomers with literature data,[3c] and those of others were proposed to be *S* by analogy.

[20] The Mannich-type reaction with aldimines derived from aliphatic aldehydes was not successful.

[21] For the *syn*-selective Mannich-type reaction catalyzed by a Brønsted acid, see reference [9c].

[22] $4\text{-}NO_2C_6H_4$ groups might enhance the activity by increasing the acidity of the phosphate group.